# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 HAWTHORNE STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 Docket No. TSCA-09-2012-000 REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

In the matter of:

Florence Unified School District U.S. EPA. REGION IX Docket No. TSCA-09-2012-000 REGIONAL HEARING CLERK CONSENT AGREEMENT and FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 22.13 AND 22.18

Respondent.

)

# L CONSENT AGREEMENT

Complainant, the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, ("EPA") and Respondent Florence Unified School District (hereafter "Respondent") seek to settle this case and consent to the entry of this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO").

#### A. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

1. This administrative proceeding is initiated pursuant to Section 207 of the Toxic Substances Control Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2601, <u>et seq</u>. (hereinafter referred to as "TSCA" or the "Act"), and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, 40 C.F.R. Part 22.

2. This proceeding involves the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act ("AHERA"), also known as Title II of TSCA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Section 203(b) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2643(b), required the Administrator of EPA to, among other things, promulgate regulations prescribing procedures for determining whether asbestos-containing material is present in a school building under the authority of a local educational agency ("LEA"). Section 203(g) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2643(g), required the Administrator of EPA to promulgate regulations to, among other things, require periodic reinspection of friable and nonfriable asbestos. Section 203(i) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2643(i), required the Administrator of EPA to, among other things, promulgate regulations requiring each LEA to develop and implement an asbestos management plan ("AMP") for school buildings under its authority. These regulations are codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E (the "Subpart E regulations").

3. Section 763.85(a)(2) of the Subpart E regulations states that any building leased or acquired on or after October 12, 1988 that is to be used as a school building shall be inspected as described under paragraphs (a)(3) and (4) of Section 763.85 prior to use as a school building and that, in the event that emergency use of an uninspected building as a school building is necessary, such buildings shall be inspected within 30 days after commencement of such use. Section 763.85(b) of the Subpart E regulations states that at least once every 3 years after a management plan is in effect, each LEA shall conduct a reinspection of all friable and nonfriable known or assumed asbestos-containing building. Section 763.93(g)(2) of the Subpart E regulations provides that each LEA shall maintain in its administrative office a complete, updated copy of an AMP for each school under its administrative control or direction. Section 763.93(g)(3) of the Subpart E regulations provides that each school shall maintain in its administrative office a complete, updated copy of the AMP for that school. 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(3).

4. Sections 207(a)(1) and (3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2647(a) (1) and (3), provide that any LEA that fails to conduct an inspection or fails to develop an AMP pursuant to regulations under Section 203(i) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2643(i), is liable for a civil penalty. Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (as amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996), and the subsequent Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19, authorize a civil penalty, for each day that a violation continues, of (1) not more than \$5,000 for violations on or before January 30, 1997; (2) not more than \$5,500 for violations after January 30, 1997 but on or before March 15, 2004;
(3) not more than \$6,500 for violations after March 15, 2004 through January 12, 2009; and (4) not more than \$7,500 for violations after January 12, 2009.

5. Section 207(a) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a), further provides that any civil penalty shall be assessed and collected in the same manner, and subject to the same provisions, as in the case of civil penalties assessed and collected under Section 16 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615. Section 16(a)(2)(B) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2615(a)(2)(B), requires EPA to take into account the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the violation(s), and, with respect to the violator, ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations, the degree of culpability, and such other matters as justice may require. Section 207(a) states that any civil penalty collected shall be used by the LEA to comply with TSCA Title II, with any portion remaining unspent to be deposited into the Asbestos Trust Fund established pursuant to Section 5 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 4022. In addition, Section 207(c) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(c), requires EPA to consider the significance of the violation, the culpability of the violator, including any history of previous TSCA violations, the ability of the violator to pay the penalty, and the ability of the violator to continue to provide educational services to the community.

#### **B.** AUTHORITY AND PARTIES

6. Respondent operates one alternative 4 – 12 school, one special needs school, one virtual academy, seven K-8 schools, and two high schools in Arizona. The Florence Unified School District schools are public, state-funded schools constituted within the state of Arizona. The Florence Unified School District is a public authority legally constituted within the State of Arizona for administrative control or direction of public elementary schools and secondary schools.

7. The authority to take action under Section 207 of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647, is vested in the Administrator of EPA ("Administrator"). By EPA Delegation Order Number 12-2-A, dated May 11, 1994, the Administrator delegated to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX the authority to commence administrative proceedings under TSCA and to sign consent agreements memorializing settlements in such proceedings. By EPA Regional Order Number R9 1260,06A dated May 19, 2005, the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX redelegated this authority to the Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division. The Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division has the authority to commence and settle an enforcement action in this matter.

#### C. COMPLAINANT'S ALLEGATIONS

 Respondent began operating Anthem School at 2700 N. Anthem Way, Florence, Arizona in or around 2007. Respondent began operating Circle Cross Ranch School at 35900 N. Charbray Drive, San Tan Valley, Arizona in or around 2009. Respondent began operating Copper Basin School at 28682 N. Main Street, San Tan Valley, Arizona in 2005. Respondent began operating Florence Middle School (now incorporated into Florence K-8 School) at 460 S. Park Street, Florence, Arizona before 1988. Respondent began using the old Florence Elementary School campus at 225 S. Orlando Street, Florence, Arizona, before 1988, and this campus includes the buildings currently used by S.T.E.P. (a 4-12 school), Summit School (a special needs school) and Florence Virtual Academy. Respondent began operating Magma Ranch School at 10980 E. Desert Mountain Blvd., Florence, Arizona in 2009. Respondent began operating Skyline Ranch elementary school at 1084 West San Tan Hills, San Tan Valley, Arizona in or around 2006. Respondent began operating Walker Butte School at 29697 N. Desert Willow Blvd, San Tan Valley, Arizona in 2002. Respondent began operating Postou Butte High School at 32375 N. Gantzel Rd., San Tan Valley, Arizona in or around 2010. Respondent began operating Florence High School at 1000 S. Main Street, Florence, Arizona before 1988. Respondent owns, leases, or otherwise uses, at the minimum, one (1) "school building," as defined in Section 202(13) of TSCA Title II, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(13), and in 40 C.F.R. § 763.83. Respondent is a LEA, as defined in Section 202(7) of TSCA Title II, 15 U.S.C. § 2642(7), and in 40 C.F.R. § 763.83.

9. Sections 203(i) and 205(d) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2643(i) and 2645(d), require that Respondent, prior to using a building as a school after October 12, 1988, conduct an inspection and develop a valid AMP. Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3), and 40 C.F.R. § 763.97(a), make it unlawful for Respondent to fail to conduct such inspections and develop such an AMP, and provide that each separate failure to comply with respect to a single school building constitutes a violation of Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA. If a signed statement of an architect or project engineer has been submitted to EPA pursuant to Section 763.99(a)(7), then the LEA is not required to perform an inspection.

On April 11, 2011, an EPA inspector inspected Florence High School, and
 Respondent was unable to present an AMP for any of its schools. In a letter dated June 30,
 2011, the EPA Region IX Toxics Office requested a copy of Respondent's AHERA asbestos
 management plans within 30 days.

a. Respondent provided EPA the original AMP for Florence High School prepared in 1989 that documented asbestos-containing building material ("ACBM"), and an AMP for Florence High School dated December 2, 2011 that documents that Florence High School, including the district office, contains 16,536 square feet of ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted the required reinspection of Florence High School since 1995.

b. Respondent provided EPA the original AMP for Florence Middle School

campus (currently part of the Florence K-8 School) prepared in 1989 that documented ACBM, and an AMP dated November 21, 2011 that documents that this school campus contains 7,815 square feet of ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted a reinspection of Florence K-8 School since 1995.

c. Respondent provided EPA with the original AMP for the Florence Elementary School campus prepared in 1989 that documented ACBM, and an AMP dated November 21, 2011 that documents a reinspection in 1995 and that this school campus contains 13,060 square feet of ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted a reinspection of the Florence Elementary School campus since 1995.

d. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for **Poston Butte High School** dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a certification from the builder dated January 21, 2010, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not prepared an AMP for Poston Butte High School.

e. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Anthem School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the builder dated August 29, 2011, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted an initial inspection, or obtained a certification from the builder in lieu of inspection, nor had Respondent prepared an AMP for Anthem School.

f. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Circle Cross Ranch School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the architect dated December 28, 2006, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not prepared an AMP for Circle Cross Ranch School.

g. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Magma Ranch School dated

November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the architect dated August 29, 2011, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted an initial inspection, or obtained a certification from the builder in lieu of inspection, nor had Respondent prepared an AMP for Magma Ranch School.

h. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Copper Basin School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the builder dated May, 2005, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not prepared an AMP for Copper Basin School.

i. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Skyline Ranch School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the builder dated November 2005 that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not prepared an AMP for Skyline Ranch School.

j. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Walker Butte School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the builder dated June 23 2011, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted an initial inspection, or obtained a certification from the builder in lieu of inspection, nor had Respondent prepared an AMP for Magma Ranch School.

k. Respondent provided EPA with an AMP for Johnson Ranch School dated November 21, 2011 that documents, by a letter from the builder dated September 1, 2011, that this school does not contain ACBM. At the time of EPA's April 2011 inspection, Respondent had not conducted an initial inspection, or obtained a certification from the builder in lieu of inspection, nor had Respondent prepared an AMP for Johnson Ranch School.

11. Respondent violated Section 207(a)(1) of TSCA Title II, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(1), by failing to either submit to EPA a signed statement of an architect or conduct an inspection, or reinspection, pursuant to Section 203(b), 203(e)(3) and 203(g)(2) of TSCA Title II, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2643(b), 2643(e)(3) and 2643(g)(2) and the Subpart E regulations thereunder at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E, for the school buildings referenced in Paragraph 8 above at the following schools: Florence High School, Florence K-8 School, the old Florence Elementary School campus, Magma Ranch School, Anthem School, Walker Butte School, and Johnson Ranch School.

12. Respondent violated Section 207(a)(3) of TSCA, 15 U.S.C. § 2647(a)(3), by failing to develop or keep current an AMP, pursuant to Section 203(i) of TSCA Title II, 15 U.S.C. § 2643(i), and the regulations thereunder at 40 C.F.R. Part 763, Subpart E, for the school buildings referenced in Paragraph 8 above for the following schools: Poston Butte High School, Walker Butte School, Magma Ranch School, Circle Cross Ranch School, Anthem School, Skyline Ranch School, Copper Basin School, and Johnson Ranch School.

# D. RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS

13. To avoid the disruption of orderly educational activities and the expense of protracted and costly litigation, Respondent, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2), and for the purpose of this proceeding, (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Section I.C. of this CAFO; (iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO; (iv) agrees to pay, and consents to the assessment of, the civil administrative penalty under Section I.G of this CAFO; (v) waives any right to contest the allegations contained in Section I.C. of this CAFO, including but not limited to its right under Section 16(a)(2)(A) of TSCA to request a hearing; and (vi) waives the right to appeal the proposed final order contained in this CAFO.

#### E. COMPLIANCE WITH AHERA

14. AHERA and the AHERA Subpart E regulations specify the steps which must be taken to inspect each school building to determine whether that building contains asbestoscontaining building material ("ACBM") or is assumed to contain asbestos-containing material ("ACM"), and what must be done to prepare and maintain a management plan. Compliance with AHERA and the AHERA subpart E regulations includes:

(A) Identification and training for Designated Persons identified by the Respondent, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 763.84(g)(1), and all maintenance and custodial staff who may work in a building that contains ACBM or assumed to contain ACM.

### (B) Maintenance of a complete copy of the management plan for each

school in Respondent's administrative office and in each school administrative office, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(2) and (3). The management plan shall be available to representatives of EPA and the State, the public, including teachers, other school personnel, and their representatives, and parents without cost or restriction.

(C) Notifications: (1) Written notification to parent, teacher and employee organizations of the availability of the management plan and a description of the steps used to make such notification, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 763.93(g)(4), and subsequent notification to these organizations at least once each school year. (2) Notification to workers and building occupants, or their legal guardians about inspections, reinspections, response actions, and post-response activities, including periodic reinspection and surveillance activities that are planned or in progress.

#### (D) Implementation of the management plan. Whenever any friable ACBM

is present or assumed to be present in a building that Respondent leases, owns or otherwise uses as a school building, implementation includes: development and implementation of an operations and maintenance program; any required initial cleaning as specified by 40 C.F.R. § 763.91(c)(1); clean-up and repair of items identified as suspected ACBM conducted in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 763.90; and a commitment to perform a reinspection of all friable and nonfriable known or assumed ACBM in each school building that Respondent leases, owns or otherwise uses as a school building, at least once every three years.

(E) \_\_\_\_\_\_Maintenance of the following records: (1) records of accreditation for the person(s) who inspect, assess, and develop management plans; (2) record of each person required to be trained under 40 C.F.R. § 763.92(a)(1) and (2), with the person's name and job title, the date that training was completed, the location of the training, and the number of hours completed in such training; (3) record of periodic surveillance performed, with the name of each person performing the surveillance, the date of the surveillance, and any changes in the conditions of the materials; (4) record of each person performing initial cleaning pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 763.91(c), the date of such cleaning, the locations cleaned, and the methods used to perform such cleaning; and (5) for each time that operations and maintenance activities are performed, a record of each person performing the activity, the start and completion dates of the activity, the location(s) where such activity occurs, a description of the activity, including preventative measures used, and if ACBM is removed, the name and location of storage or disposal site of the ACBM.

#### F. RESPONDENT'S CERTIFICATION

15. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that it has complied with AHERA and the AHERA Subpart E regulations at Florence High School, Florence K-8 School, the old Florence Elementary School campus (currently utilized by S.T.E.P., Summit School, and Florence Virtual Academy), Magma Ranch School, Anthem School, Walker Butte School, Poston Butte High School, Circle Cross Ranch School, Skyline Ranch School, Copper Basin School, Johnson Ranch School, and all other facilities under its control which are subject to AHERA requirements. The AMPs for the above-listed schools document that school buildings at Florence High School, Florence K-8 School, and the old Florence Elementary School campus contain asbestos-containing building materials. Respondent certifies that all accounting of the costs incurred by Respondent in complying with AHERA and the AHERA Subpart E regulations provided to EPA are true and accurate.

#### G. CIVIL ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY

16. Respondent consents to the assessment of a penalty in the amount of THIRTY ONE THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIVE DOLLARS (\$31,705), as specified in this Paragraph as final settlement and complete satisfaction of the civil claims against Respondent arising from the facts alleged in Section I.C. of the CAFO and under the Act, as alleged in Section I.C. of the CAFO. As the Respondent's EPA-approved costs of compliance with AHERA and the Subpart E regulations exceeds the civil penalty amount, the costs of compliance aiready expended by Respondent shall represent full payment of penalty.

17. Issuance of this CAFO does not constitute a waiver by EPA of its right to enforce the terms of this CAFO or to seek other civil or criminal relief for violations, if any, of any provision of federal law not specifically settled by this Consent Agreement. Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of its duty to comply with all applicable provisions of the Act, rules promulgated thereunder, and other Federal, state or local laws or statutes.

18. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding on Respondent and on Respondent's officers, directors, employees, agents, servants, authorized representatives, successors, and

assigns.

19. Each party shall bear its own costs, fees, and disbursements in this action.

20. This Consent Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Respondent and EPA. This Consent Agreement and Final Order is for the purpose of fully and finally settling the civil claims against Respondent arising from the facts alleged in section I.C. of this CAFO. Full payment of the civil penalty and any applicable interest charges or late fees or penalties as set forth in this Consent Agreement and the Final Order shall constitute full settlement and satisfaction of civil penalty liability against Respondent for the violations alleged in Section I.C. of this CAFO.

21. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), the effective date of this CAFO shall be the date on which the accompanying Final Order, having been signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, is filed.

22. The undersigned representatives of each party to this Consent Agreement certify that each is duly authorized by the party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and bind that party to it.

# FLORENCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT: Date: 1/18/12 By: Day Mine

Gary S. Nine, Ed.D.

Title: Superintendent

Name:

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

Date: 209/2012 By:

tr There ENRIQUE MANZANILLA

ENRIQUE MANZANILLA Director, Communities and Ecosystems Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX

# **II. FINAL ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Consent Agreement and Final Order be entered and that

Respondent shall comply with the terms set forth in the Consent Agreement.

Date: 02/10/12

Steven Jawgiel Regional Judicial Officer U.S. EPA, Region IX

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and one copy of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R §§ 22.13 and 22.18 (Docket No. TSCA-9-2012- OOOQ) against the Florence Unified School District, was filed **General** with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and that a true and correct copy of the same was sent to Respondent at the following address:

> Dr. Gary Nine Superintendent Florence Unified School District 1000 S. Main Street Florence, AZ 85132

Certified Mail No. 7001 0320 0002 4540 4747

Bryan Goodwin

Date: 2-22-12

Regional Hearing Clerk United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

> Certified Mail No. **7001 0320 0002 4540 4747** Return Receipt Requested

Re: TSCA-09-2012-0002

Date:

Dr. Gary Nine Superintendent Florence Unified School Didtrict 1000 S. Main Street Florence, AZ 85132

Dear Dr. Nine:

Enclosed please find your copy of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order which contains the terms of the settlement reached with Margaret Alkon of the EPA Region 9 Office of Regional Counsel. Your completion of all actions enumerated in the Consent Agreement and Final Order will close this case. If you have any questions, please contact Ron Tsuchiya at (415) 947-4168.

Sincerely,

there a Tack for

Enrique Mazanilla, Director Communities and Ecosystems Division

Enclosure

